

Review

Clinical review: New technologies for prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections

Stefania Cicalini¹, Fabrizio Palmieri² and Nicola Petrosillo³

¹Resident, 2nd Infectious Diseases Unit, Istituto Nazionale per le Malattie Infettive 'Lazzaro Spallanzani', IRCCS, Rome, Italy

²Resident, 2nd Infectious Diseases Unit, Istituto Nazionale per le Malattie Infettive 'Lazzaro Spallanzani', IRCCS, Rome, Italy

³Director, 2nd Infectious Diseases Unit, Istituto Nazionale per le Malattie Infettive 'Lazzaro Spallanzani', IRCCS, Rome, Italy

Correspondence: Stefania Cicalini, cicalini@inmi.it

Published online: 29 September 2003

Critical Care 2004, **8**:157-162 (DOI 10.1186/cc2380)

This article is online at <http://ccforum.com/content/8/3/157>

© 2004 BioMed Central Ltd

Abstract

Intravascular catheters have become essential devices for the management of critically and chronically ill patients. However, their use is often associated with serious infectious complications, mostly catheter-related bloodstream infection (CRBSI), resulting in significant morbidity, increased duration of hospitalization, and additional medical costs. The majority of CRBSIs are associated with central venous catheters (CVCs), and the relative risk for CRBSI is significantly greater with CVCs than with peripheral venous catheters. However, most CVC-related infections are preventable, and different measures have been implemented to reduce the risk for CRBSI, including maximal barrier precautions during catheter insertion, catheter site maintenance, and hub handling. The focus of the present review is on new technologies for preventing infections that are directed at CVCs. New preventive strategies that have been shown to be effective in reducing risk for CRBSI, including the use of catheters and dressings impregnated with antiseptics or antibiotics, the use of new hub models, and the use of antibiotic lock solutions, are briefly described.

Keywords catheter-related bloodstream infections, central venous catheters, new technologies, prevention

Introduction

Intravascular catheters represent an essential part of the management of critically and chronically ill patients. However, their use is often complicated by serious infections, mostly catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSIs), which are associated with increased morbidity, duration of hospitalisation, and additional medical costs. The incidence of CRBSI varies considerably by type of catheter, frequency of catheter manipulation and patient-related factors, such as underlying disease and severity of illness [1]. However, the majority of CRBSIs are associated with central venous catheters (CVCs) [1], and in prospective studies the relative risk for CRBSI is up to 64 times greater with CVCs than with peripheral venous catheters [2–4].

Different measures have been implemented to reduce the risk for CRBSI, including use of maximal barrier precautions

during catheter insertion, effective cutaneous antiseptics, and preventive strategies based on inhibiting micro-organisms originating from the skin or catheter hub from adhering to the catheter. Institution of continuous quality improvement programs, education and training of health care workers, and adherence to standardized protocols for insertion and maintenance of intravascular catheters significantly reduced the incidence of catheter-related infections and represent the most important preventive measures [1,2]. In the present review the new technologies for prevention of infections directed at CVCs, which have been shown to reduce the risk of CRBSI, including catheters and dressings impregnated with antiseptics or antibiotics, new hub models, and antibiotic lock solutions, are briefly described (Table 1).

For short-term CVCs (i.e. those in place <10 days), which are most commonly colonized by cutaneous organisms along the

CRBSI = catheter-related bloodstream infection; C-SS = chlorhexidine and silver sulfadiazine; CVC = central venous catheter; M-EDTA = minocycline and EDTA.

Table 1

New technologies for the prevention of central venous catheter-related bloodstream infection

Technology	Usefulness	Grade*	Note
Antimicrobial impregnated dressings			
Chlorhexidine impregnated sponge dressing	Short-term CVCs	NR	Consider for CVCs expected to be in place for >5 days
Silver impregnated subcutaneous collagen cuff	Short-term CVCs	NR	Conflicting results in several clinical trials of efficacy
Antimicrobial impregnated catheters			
		IB	Consider if institutional rate of CRBSI is high despite consistent application of preventive measures and CVC is expected to be in place for >5 days
Chlorhexidine–silver sulfadiazine impregnated catheters	Short-term CVCs		Only the external surface of the CVC is coated. Not effective for CVCs left in place for >2 weeks
Minocycline–rifampin impregnated catheters	Short-term and long-term CVCs		Both the internal and external surfaces of the CVC are coated. Prolonged antimicrobial activity
Hubs			
Catheter hub contained a iodinated alcohol solution	Long-term CVCs	NR	A recent trial failed to show any preventive benefit from the use of this hub
Povidone–iodine saturated sponge	Long-term CVCs	NR	
Needleless connectors			
		NR	Increased risk for CRBSI associated with improper use
Antimicrobial lock solutions			
	Long-term CVCs	II	Consider only for patients with recurrent CRBSIs despite consistent application of preventive measures

*Adapted from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections [1]. Category IB: strongly recommended for implementation and supported by some experimental, clinical, or epidemiologic studies, and a strong theoretical rationale. Category II: suggested for implementation and supported by suggestive clinical or epidemiologic studies or a theoretical rationale. NR: no recommendations for or against use at this time. CRBSI, catheter-related bloodstream infection; CVC, central venous catheter.

external surface of the catheter, the most important preventive systems are those that decrease the extraluminal contamination. In contrast, with long-term CVCs (i.e. those in place >10 days), in which endoluminal spread from the hub appears to be the primary mechanism of infection, technologies that reduce endoluminal colonization in addition to extraluminal invasion of the catheter should provide additional protection against CRBSI.

Method

This report is based on a literature review of published articles in the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. The review was conducted by searching the Medline database of the National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD, USA using the following key terms: catheter-related infections, catheter-related bloodstream infections, intravascular devices, central venous catheter, prevention, infection control practices, guidelines, and new technologies. The bibliographies of selected articles were also reviewed for pertinent studies.

Antimicrobial impregnated catheters and dressings

Chlorhexidine impregnated sponge dressing

A sponge dressing impregnated with chlorhexidine gluconate (BioPatch®; Johnson and Johnson, Arlington, TX, USA) applied at the insertion site of CVCs has been shown to reduce skin colonization and bacterial migration along the external surface of the catheter as compared with skin disinfection with povidone–iodine [5]. Therefore, it may be effective for the prevention of infections associated with short-term CVCs, in which extraluminal colonization is the primary mechanism of infection. However, local contact dermatitis to the BioPatch® dressing has been observed in low-birth-weight neonates, who require prolonged central access during the first 2 weeks of life [5].

Silver impregnated subcutaneous collagen cuff

A silver impregnated collagen cuff attached to CVCs and left below the skin insertion site significantly decreased the risk

for extraluminal colonization associated with short-term catheters (mean duration of placement <10 days) [6,7]. The ionic silver has broad spectrum activity against bacteria and fungi, and the cuff provides a mechanical barrier to the migration of micro-organisms along the external surface of the catheter [1]. Nevertheless, clinical trials involving short-term CVCs have yielded conflicting results [6–9]. In two randomized clinical trials conducted in surgical patients assigned to receive a CVC with or without a silver cuff [7,8], the incidence of CRBSI was significantly greater in the control than in the cuffed catheter group (3.7% versus 1%). In the third clinical trial [9], however, no difference in the rates of catheter colonization or incidence of CRBSI was observed between patients who received and those who did not receive a silver cuffed CVC. Moreover, the cuff failed to reduce CRBSIs associated with catheters left in place for 20 days or longer [6,10,11]. This may be attributable to the biodegradable nature of the collagen and to the fact that the silver ions chelated to the cuff are released within 3–7 days. In addition, in this setting intraluminal spread from the hub is the dominant mechanism of catheter colonization. Another potential problem with the cuff is the protrusion of the system after insertion if the physician does not have sufficient experience with the insertion technique.

Catheters impregnated with antimicrobial agents

Several studies have shown that CVCs impregnated with antiseptic or antibiotic agents decreased the risk for catheter colonization and CRBSIs [12–16] in comparison with unimpregnated catheters [14,15]. The best studied antimicrobial catheters are those impregnated with a combination of chlorhexidine and silver sulfadiazine (C-SS) or minocycline and rifampin [15,17].

Chlorhexidine and silver sulfadiazine impregnated catheters

Catheters coated with C-SS have been shown to decrease the risk for colonization by twofold and the risk for CRBSI by at least fourfold compared with uncoated catheters [14]. The main limitations of these catheters is that only the external surface of the catheter is coated, thus conferring no protection from micro-organisms invading the internal surface of the catheter from contaminated hubs, and that the catheters have reduced antimicrobial activity and poor efficacy with long-term use (>2 weeks) [18–20]. Thus, these catheters have been shown to be particularly efficacious in reducing the risk for CRBSI associated with short-term CVCs [17] but failed to reduce the risk for CRBSI in situations requiring long-term catheterization [19]. In the largest clinical trial [19], which included 538 patients randomly assigned to receive a C-SS impregnated catheter or a nonimpregnated catheter, in which the mean duration of catheterization was 20 ± 12 days, no significant difference in the incidence of CRBSI was observed between the control group (4.7%) and the C-SS catheter group (5%) [19]. However, catheters impregnated intraluminally with chlorhexidine in addition to C-SS extraluminal impregnation are now available, and preliminary studies

indicate their prolonged antimicrobial activity and improved efficacy in preventing infections [21].

C-SS impregnated catheters are more expensive than standard catheters, but they should reduce costs in settings in which the incidence of CRBSI is greater than 3.3 per 1000 catheter-days [14], despite adherence to other preventive strategies. A cost-effectiveness analysis concluded that using these catheters would decrease direct medical costs by US\$196 per catheter inserted [17].

Resistance to the antiseptic components of this device has not been demonstrated in clinical studies [14]. There is concern about the potential anaphylaxis associated with the use of C-SS impregnated catheters, probably related to the chlorhexidine component, and 12 cases of anaphylactic reactions have been reported from Japan [22], and one case from the UK [23]. However, there have been no reports of such reactions from the USA, where more than 3 million catheters were sold during 2000 [24].

Minocycline–rifampin coated catheters

Catheters coated with minocycline–rifampin have the advantage of coating both the internal and external surfaces of the catheters, and have been associated with a lower rate of infection than have C-SS impregnated catheters. In a prospective clinical trial in which patients were randomly assigned to receive either minocycline–rifampin or C-SS catheters, the rate of CRBSI was significantly lower in the former group than in latter group (0.3% versus 3.4%) [25]. Indeed, catheters coated with minocycline–rifampin exhibited broad spectrum inhibitory activity both *in vitro* and *in vivo* against Gram-positive bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria, and *Candida albicans* that was significantly superior to that with C-SS impregnated catheters [20]. Moreover, the antibiotic activity of minocycline–rifampin is retained for longer periods *in situ* [15,20,25,26]. Although more expensive than C-SS impregnated catheters, a recent analysis suggested that CVCs coated with minocycline–rifampin are cost-effective for patients catheterized for at least 1 week and lead to overall cost savings when patients are catheterised for 2 weeks or longer [27].

Although no resistance to the antimicrobial components of these devices has been demonstrated in clinical studies [15,25], an *in vitro* study demonstrated a 10- to 16-fold increase in the minimal inhibitory concentration of the minocycline–rifampin combination with respect to *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, suggesting that resistance to this combination can develop. The study also indicated that minocycline had a protective role against development of rifampin resistance, because resistance to rifampin increased only 80-fold when rifampin was used in combination with minocycline, in contrast to 25 000-fold when rifampin was used alone [28]. However, a thorough investigation is required to determine the risk for emergence of resistance to minocycline–rifampin associated with long-term use of these catheters.

Polyurethane catheters

Polyurethane catheters combined with silver, carbon and platinum (oligon-treated catheters) represent a new option for the prevention of CRBSI. In a prospective randomized trial, Ranucci and coworkers [29] compared the rates of CVC colonization and CVC bloodstream infections between 268 patients with an oligon-treated catheter and 277 patients with a polyurethane catheter treated with benzalkonium chloride. Patients in the oligon group demonstrated a lower risk for catheter colonization (relative risk 0.63, 95% confidence interval 0.46–0.86; $P=0.003$), whereas no significant differences in CVC bloodstream infections were found between the oligon and the control groups.

Silver iontophoretic device

Another technology is the silver iontophoretic device, in which silver ions are released through a low voltage current to carbon-impregnated CVCs [30] or through silver wires that are attached to the proximal segment of a silicone catheter [31]. Although this technology has been shown to prevent catheter infections [20,31], the clinical safety and efficacy of this device have not been demonstrated.

Hubs and needleless connectors

Catheter hub containing a iodinated alcohol solution

A catheter hub containing an antiseptic chamber filled with 3% iodinated alcohol has been shown to reduce the rate of CRBSIs by fourfold compared with a standard hub model [32]. This model will be most useful with long-term CVCs, in which hub and lumen colonization are the leading causes of CRBSI. On the other hand, a recent clinical trial failed to show any benefit from the use of this hub in preventing CRBSI [33].

Povidone–iodine saturated sponge

Another model, which uses a povidone–iodine connection shield to encase the catheter hub, showed a significant reduction in the rate of CRBSI as compared with a control hub (0% versus 24%; $P<0.05$) in a randomized controlled clinical trial involving patients receiving total parenteral nutrition [34].

Needleless connectors

The use of needleless intravenous access devices, introduced to reduce the risk associated with occupational exposure of health care workers to blood-borne pathogens [35], was associated with an increased rate of CRBSI [36–38], which may be related to improper handling and inaccurate use of these devices [39]. However, the potential for needleless connectors to increase the risk for CRBSI is uncertain, and recent clinical trials have shown that these devices do not increase the risk for infection [40] when they are used correctly and in combination with rigorous aseptic techniques.

Antimicrobial lock solution

Antimicrobial lock is a novel technique in which an antimicrobial solution, often consisting of an anticoagulant along with

an antibiotic agent, is instilled into the lumen of the catheter and allowed to remain for a defined period, usually 6–12 hours, after which it is removed. Antimicrobial lock solutions have shown to be mostly effective for the prevention of infections associated with long-term CVCs [41,42] but they could also be useful in short-term catheters [43].

Various antimicrobial agents have been shown to be efficacious in reducing the risk for infection, including vancomycin–heparin and vancomycin–ciprofloxacin–heparin solutions [42,44]. Because the use of vancomycin is an independent risk factor for the acquisition of vancomycin-resistant enterococci [45], this practice is not recommended for routine use [1]. However, in individual cases in which a patient requires indefinite vascular access but continues to experience CRBSIs despite rigorous observance of infection control measures, the use of vancomycin lock solution to preserve vascular access should be considered. A novel lock solution consisting of minocycline and EDTA (M-EDTA) has also been shown to have antimicrobial activity against the most common organisms associated with CRBSI, including Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and *Candida albicans*, resulting in prevention of infection [46]. In a prospective cohort study, M-EDTA proved to be efficacious in preventing port-related infections without causing any adverse events [47]. However, randomized clinical trials are needed to test further the ability of M-EDTA to prevent long-term CVC infections.

Prophylactic thrombolysis

Prophylactic use of anticoagulant agents, including heparin or warfarin, reduced the incidence of catheter thrombosis [20,41,42]. Because thrombi could serve as a nidus for microbial colonization of CVCs [48,49], the use of anticoagulants may have a role in the prevention of CRBSI. For example, in a double-blind randomized controlled study conducted in a pediatric intensive care unit, heparin bonding was associated with a significant reduction in the incidence of infection (4% and 33% in heparin-bonded and non-heparin-bonded CVCs, respectively) [50]. Furthermore, in a meta-analysis evaluating benefit of heparin prophylaxis (doses of 3 U/ml total parenteral nutrition, 5000 U every 6 or 12 hours, or 2500 U of subcutaneous low-molecular-weight heparin every day) in patients with CVCs, the risk for catheter-related central venous thrombosis was reduced with the use of prophylactic heparin [51]. The meta-analysis also found a significantly decreased risk for bacterial colonization of the catheter and an associated reduction in CRBSI with use of heparin; however, studies included used variable definitions of catheter-related infections and the findings require confirmation by trials adhering to current, stricter definitions.

Future directions

A better understanding of the pathogenesis of CVC-related infections should lead to the development of more effective preventive strategies, including antiseptics with greater and more prolonged antimicrobial activity, and new materials that

make CVCs intrinsically resistant to microbial colonization and that do not promote antimicrobial resistance. Because of its critical role in the infection process, bacterial adherence represents a potential target for the development of new preventive strategies, and agents that can block the process of adherence, such as specific bacterial surface adhesin-blocking antibodies, may prove to be effective at preventing infections. *In vitro* studies have been conducted to assess the effectiveness of new polymer-antibiotic systems in inhibiting bacterial biofilm formation [52] and in reducing neutrophil activation after surface contact on different biomaterials, thus reducing the risk for biomaterial-mediated inflammatory reactions [53]. Moreover, organisms such as staphylococci, *Candida* spp. and some others produce a microbial biofilm that helps them to survive on the surfaces of foreign bodies in the bloodstream. The development of biofilm involves intercellular signaling molecules that serve in a communication system termed quorum sensing. Quorum sensing enables population density control of gene expression [54]. Molecules that inhibit quorum sensing signal generation among organisms could block microbial biofilm formation and prevent catheter colonization [55].

Conclusion

CRBSIs, as a consequence of the use of CVCs, are associated with significant morbidity, mortality and additional medical costs. Nevertheless, most CVC-related infections are preventable, and preventive strategies should aim at achieving maximal antiseptic barrier precautions during catheter insertion, catheter site maintenance, and hub handling. However, new technologies that have already been proven to be effective in clinical trials in preventing CVC infections, particularly those intended for short-term use, should be considered in clinical practice. Moreover, many of these new technologies have proven to be not only effective but also cost-effective.

Competing interests

None declared.

Acknowledgements

The work of the authors is supported by grants from Ricerca Corrente e Finalizzata, Ministry of Health and from Programma Nazionale di Ricerca sull'AIDS, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Italy.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: **Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections**. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2002, **51**(RR-10):1-29.
- Mermel LA: **New technologies to prevent intravascular catheter-related bloodstream infections**. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2001, **7**:197-199.
- Richet H, Hubert B, Nitemberg G, Andremont A, Buu-Hoi A, Ourbak P, Galicier C, Veron M, Boisivon A, Bouvier AM: **Prospective multicenter study of vascular-catheter-related complications and risk factors for positive central-catheter cultures in intensive care unit patients**. *J Clin Microbiol* 1990, **28**:2520-2525.
- Collignon PJ: **Intravascular catheter associated sepsis: a common problem. The Australian Study on Intravascular Catheter Associated Sepsis**. *Med J Aust* 1994, **161**:374-378.
- Garland JS, Alex CP, Mueller CD, Otten D, Shivpuri C, Harris MC, Naples M, Pellegrini J, Buck RK, McAuliffe TL, Goldmann DA, Maki DG: **A randomised trial comparing povidone-iodine to a chlorhexidine gluconate impregnated dressing for prevention of central venous catheter infections in neonates**. *Pediatrics* 2001, **107**:1431-1437.
- Bonawitz SC, Hammell EJ, Kirkpatrick JR: **Prevention of central venous catheter sepsis: a prospective randomized trial**. *Am Surg* 1991, **57**:618-623.
- Maki DG, Cobb L, Garman JK, Chapiro JM, Ringer M, Helgeson RB: **An attachable silver-impregnated cuff for prevention of infection with central venous catheters: a prospective randomized multicenter trial**. *Am J Med* 1988, **85**:307-314.
- Flowers RHD, Schenzer KJ, Kopel RF, Fish MJ, Tucker SI, Farr BM: **Efficacy of an attachable subcutaneous cuff for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infection: a randomized, controlled trial**. *JAMA* 1989, **261**:878-883.
- Smith HO, DeVictoria CL, Garfinkel D, Anderson P, Goldberg GL, Soeiro R, Elia G, Runowicz CD: **A prospective randomized comparison of an attached silver-impregnated cuff to prevent central venous catheter-associated infection**. *Gynecol Oncol* 1995, **58**:92-100.
- Dahlberg PJ, Agger WA, Singer JR, Yutuc WR, Newcomer KL, Schaper A, Rooney BL: **Subclavian haemodialysis catheter infections: a prospective randomized trial of an attachable silver impregnated cuff for prevention of catheter-related infections**. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1995, **16**:506-511.
- Groeger JS, Lucas AB, Coit D, LaQuaglia M, Brown AE, Turnbull A, Exelby P: **A prospective, randomized evaluation of the effect of silver impregnated subcutaneous cuffs for preventing tunnelled chronic venous access catheter infections in cancer patients**. *Ann Surg* 1993, **218**:206-210.
- Greenfield JI, Sampath L, Popiskis SJ, Brunnert SR, Stylianos S, Modak S: **Decreased bacterial adherence and biofilm formation on chlorhexidine and silver sulfadiazine impregnated central venous catheters implanted in swine**. *Crit Care Med* 1995, **23**:894-900.
- Kamal GD, Pfaller MA, Rempe LE, Jebson PJ: **Reduced intravascular catheter infection by antibiotic bolting**. *JAMA* 1991, **265**:2364-2368.
- Maki DG, Stolz SM, Wheeler S, Mermel LA: **Prevention of central venous catheter-related infection by use of an antiseptic impregnated catheter. A randomized, controlled trial**. *Ann Intern Med* 1997, **127**:257-266.
- Raad I, Darouiche R, Dupuis J, Abi-Said D, Gabrielli A, Hachem R, Wall M, Harris R, Jones J, Buzaid A, Robertson C, Shenaq S, Curling P, Burke T, Ericsson C: **Central venous catheters coated with minocycline and rifampin for the prevention of catheter-related colonization and bloodstream infection: a randomized, double-blind trial. The Texas Medical Center Catheter Study Group**. *Ann Intern Med* 1997, **127**:267-274.
- Bach A, Bohrer H, Motsh J, Martin E, Geiss HK, Sonntag HG: **Prevention of bacterial colonization of intravenous catheters by antiseptic impregnation of polyurethane polymers**. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1994, **33**:969-978.
- Veenstra DL, Saint S, Saha S, Lumeley T, Sullivan SD: **Efficacy of antiseptic-impregnated central venous catheters in preventing catheter related bloodstream infection: a meta-analysis**. *JAMA* 1999, **281**:261-267.
- Bach A, Schmidt H, Bottiger B, Schreiber B, Bohrer H, Motsch J, Martin E, Sonntag HG: **Retention of antibacterial activity and bacterial colonization of antiseptic-bonded central venous catheters**. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1996, **37**:315-322.
- Logghe C, Van Ossel C, D'Hoore W, Ezzedine H, Wauters G, Haxhe JJ: **Evaluation of chlorhexidine and silver sulfadiazine impregnated central venous catheters for the prevention of bloodstream infection in leukaemia patients: a randomized controlled trial**. *J Hosp Infect* 1997, **37**:145-156.
- Raad I, Darouiche R, Hachem R, Mansouri M, Bodey GP: **The broad-spectrum activity and efficacy of catheter coated with minocycline and rifampin**. *J Infect Dis* 1996, **173**:418-424.
- Bassetti S, Hu J, D'Agostino RB Jr, Sherertz RJ: **Prolonged antimicrobial activity of a catheter containing chlorhexidine-silver sulfadiazine extends protection against catheter infections in vivo**. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001, **45**:1535-1538.

22. Oda T, Hamasaki J, Kanda N, Mikami K: **Anaphylactic shock induced by an antiseptic-coated central venous catheter.** *Anesthesiology* 1997, **87**:1242-1244.
23. Stephens R, Mythen M, Kallis P, Davies DW, Egner W, Rickards A: **Two episodes of life-threatening anaphylaxis in the same patient to a chlorhexidine-sulphadiazine-coated central venous catheter.** *Br J Anaesth* 2001, **87**:306-308.
24. Crnich CJ, Maki DG: **The promise of novel technology for the prevention of intravascular device-related bloodstream infection. I. Pathogenesis and short-term devices.** *Clin Infect Dis* 2002, **34**:1232-1242.
25. Darouiche RO, Raad II, Heard SO, Thornby JL, Wenker OC, Gabrielli A, Berg J, Khardori N, Hanna H, Hachem R, Harris RL, Mayhall G: **A comparison of two antimicrobial impregnated central venous catheters.** *N Engl J Med* 1999, **340**:1-8.
26. Raad II, Darouiche RO, Hachem R, Abi-Said D, Safar H, Darnule T, Mansouri M, Morck D: **Antimicrobial durability and rare ultra-structural colonization of indwelling central catheters coated with minocycline and rifampin.** *Crit Care Med* 1998, **26**:219-224.
27. Marcicante KD, Veenstra DL, Lipsky BA, Saint S: **Which antimicrobial impregnated catheter should we use? Modelling the costs and outcomes of antimicrobial catheter use.** *Am J Infect Control* 2003, **31**:1-8.
28. Tambe SM, Sampath L, Modak SM: **In vitro evaluation of the risk of developing bacterial resistance to antiseptics and antibiotics used in medical devices.** *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2001, **47**:589-598.
29. Ranucci M, Isgro G, Giomarelli PP, Pavesi M, Luzzani A, Cattabriga I, Carli M, Giomi P, Compostella A, Digito A, Mangani V, Silvestri V, Mondelli E;Catheter Related Infection Trial (CRIT) Group: **Impact of oligon central venous catheters on catheter colonization and catheter-related bloodstream infection.** *Crit Care Med* 2003, **3**:52-59.
30. Liu WK, Tebbs SE, Byrne PO, Elliott TS: **The effects of electric current on bacteria colonizing intravenous catheters.** *J Infect* 1993, **27**:261-269.
31. Raad I, Hachem R, Zermeno A, Dumo M, Bodey GP: **In vitro antimicrobial efficacy of silver iontophoretic catheters.** *Biomaterials* 1996, **17**:1055-1059.
32. Segura M, Alvarez-Lerma F, Tellado JM, Jimenez-Ferreres J, Oms L, Rello J, Baro T, Sanchez R, Morera A, Mariscal D, Marrugat J, Sitges-Serra A: **A clinical trial on the prevention of catheter-related sepsis using a new hub model.** *Ann Surg* 1996, **223**:363-369.
33. Luna J, Masdeu G, Perez M, Claramonte R, Forcadell I, Barrachina F, Panisello M: **Clinical trial evaluating a new hub device designate to prevent catheter-related sepsis.** *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2000, **19**:655-662.
34. Halpin DP, O'Byrne P, Mc Entee G, Hennessy TP, Stephens RB: **Effects of a Betadine connection shield on central venous catheters sepsis.** *Nutrition* 1991, **7**:33-34.
35. Mendelson MH, Short LJ, Schechter CB, Meyers BR, Rodriguez M, Cohen S, Lozada J, DeCambre M, Hirschman SZ: **Study of needleless intermittent intravenous access system for peripheral infusions: analysis of staff patient, and institutional outcomes.** *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1998, **19**:401-406.
36. Danzig LE, Short LJ, Collins K, Mahoney M, Sepe S, Bland L, Jarvis WR: **Bloodstream infections associated with a needleless intravenous infusion system in patients receiving home infusion therapy.** *JAMA* 1995, **273**:1862-1864.
37. Cookson ST, Ihrig M, O'Mara EM, Denny M, Volk H, Banerjee SN, Hartstein AI, Jarvis WR: **Increased bloodstream infection rates in surgical patients associated with variation from recommended use and care following implementation of a needleless device.** *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1998, **19**:28-31.
38. Kellerman S, Shay DK, Howard J, Goes C, Feusner J, Rosenberg J, Vugia DJ, Jarvis WR: **Bloodstream infections in home infusion patients: the influence of race and needleless intravascular devices.** *J Pediatr* 1996, **129**:711-717.
39. Do AN, Ray BJ, Banerjee SN, Illian AF, Barnett BJ, Pham MH, Hendricks KA, Jarvis WR: **Bloodstream infection associated with needleless devices use and the importance of infection control practices in the home health care setting.** *J Infect Dis* 1999, **179**:442-448.
40. Seymour VM, Dhallu TS, Moss HA, Tebbs SE, Elliot TS: **A prospective clinical study to investigate the microbial contamination of a needleless connector.** *J Hosp Infect* 2000, **45**:165-168.
41. Rackoff WR, Weiman M, Jakobowski D, Hirschl R, Stallings V, Bilodeau J, Danz P, Bell L, Lange B: **A randomized, controlled trial of the efficacy of a heparin and vancomycin solution in preventing central venous catheter infection in children.** *J Pediatr* 1995, **127**:147-151.
42. Shwartz C, Henrickson KJ, Roghmann K, Powell K: **Prevention of bacteremia attributed to luminal colonization of tunneled central venous catheter with vancomycin susceptible organisms.** *J Clin Oncol* 1990, **8**:591-597.
43. Raad II, Hanna HA: **Intravascular catheter-related infections. New horizons and recent advances.** *Arch Intern Med* 2002, **162**:871-878.
44. Henrickson KJ, Axtell RA, Hoover SM, Kuhn SM, Pritchett J, Kehl SC, Klein JP: **Prevention of central venous catheter-related infections and thrombotic events in immunocompromised children by the use of vancomycin/ciprofloxacin/heparin flush solutions: a randomized, multicenter, double-blind trial.** *J Clin Oncol* 2000, **18**:1269-1278.
45. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: **Recommendations for preventing the spread of vancomycin resistance. Recommendations of the Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC).** *MMWR Recomm Rep* 1995, **44 (RR-12)**:1-13.
46. Raad I, Buzaid A, Rhyne J, Hachem R, Darouiche R, Safar H, Albitar M, Sherertz RJ: **Minocycline and etylenediaminetetraacetate for the prevention of recurrent vascular catheter infections.** *Clin Infect Dis* 1997, **25**:149-151.
47. Chatzinikolaou I, Zipf TF, Hanna H, Umphrey J, Roberts WM, Sherertz R, Hachem R, Raad I: **Minocycline-ethylenediamine tetracetate lock solution for the prevention of implantable port infections in children with cancer.** *Clin Infect Dis* 2003, **36**:116-119.
48. Raad II, Luna M, Khalil SA, Costerton JW, Lam C, Bodey GP: **The relationship between the thrombotic and infectious complications of central venous catheters.** *JAMA* 1994, **271**:1014-1016.
49. Timsit JF, Farkas JC, Boyer JM, Martin JB, Misset B, Renaud B, Carlet J: **Central vein catheter-related thrombosis in intensive care patients: incidence, risk factors, and relationship with catheter-related sepsis.** *Chest* 1998, **113**:165-171.
50. Pierce CM, Wade A, Mok Q: **Heparin-bonded central venous lines reduce thrombotic and infective complications in critically ill children.** *Intensive Care Med* 2000, **26**:967-972.
51. Randolph AG, Cook DJ, Gonzales CA, Andrew M: **Benefit of heparin in central venous and pulmonary artery catheters: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials.** *Chest* 1998, **113**:165-171.
52. Donelli G, Francolini I, Piozzi A, Di Rosa R, Marconi W: **New polymer-antibiotic systems to inhibit bacterial biofilm formation: a suitable approach to prevent central venous catheter-associated infections.** *J Chemother* 2002, **14**:501-507.
53. Schmidt S, Haase G, Csomor E, Luticken R, Peltroche-Llacsahuanga H: **Inhibitor of complement, Compstatin, prevents polymer-mediated Mac-1 up-regulation of human neutrophils independent of biomaterial type tested.** *J Biomed Mater Res* 2003, **66A**:491-499.
54. Parsek MR, Val DL, Hanzelka BL, Cronan JE Jr, Greenberg EP: **Acyl homoserine-lactone quorum-sensing signal generation.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999, **96**:4360-4365.
55. Davies DG, Parsek MR, Pearson JP, Iglewski BH, Costerton JW, Greenberg EP: **The involvement of cell-to-cell signals in the development of a bacterial biofilm.** *Science* 1998, **280**:295-298.